

CHERIAN_{LLP}



THOMAS M. DUNHAM

tomd@cherianllp.com
(202) 838-1567

Mr. Dunham is a Partner in the DC office of Cherian LLP. He has over twenty-five years' experience securing, enforcing, and defending Intellectual Property rights in Federal District Courts, in appeals before the Federal Circuit, and in petitions to the U.S. Supreme Court. He also represents clients in post-grant proceedings at the U.S. Patent Office, including Inter Partes reviews and ex-parte re-examination proceedings.

Mr. Dunham has handled dozens of complex cases in key Federal courts including both the Eastern and Western Districts of Texas, the District of Delaware, the Northern, Central and Southern Districts of California, the Southern District of New York, the District of New Jersey, and the Northern District of Illinois.

Prior to practicing law, Mr. Dunham was a staff engineer for a major U.S. Technology company working on complex, advanced hardware/software systems. His experience in industry and as an engineer gives him unique insight in assisting companies with Intellectual Property matters.

PRACTICES:

Patent Litigation (District Court & ITC), PTAB proceedings (IPRs/EPRs), Intellectual Property Strategy & Licensing (patent, copyright, trademark, trade secret), Federal Circuit & Other IP Appeals

STATE BAR ADMISSIONS:

District of Columbia
Colorado
Alaska

COURT/USPTO ADMISSIONS:

United States Supreme Court
Federal Circuit Court of Appeals
Federal District Courts
 Eastern District of Texas
 Western District of Texas
 District of Colorado
USPTO Reg. No. 39,965

EDUCATION:

Villanova University School of Law, J.D.
SUNY Binghamton, B.S. in Physics

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS:

Member, American Intellectual
 Property Law Association
Member, Federal Circuit Bar
 Association

Obtained discretionary denial from the PTAB in a number of IPRs filed against our client's patents directed to Internet proxy technology.

Represent Chinese client on a case involving copyright infringement of software directed to video and audio editing functionality.

Obtained a favorable settlement for a major telecommunications provider in a series of cases involving wireless communication between cellular subscriber units and infrastructure equipment.

Represented a major computer manufacturer in litigation involving remote power sense capability of Ethernet networks, resulting in a favorable settlement.

Represented a major computer manufacturer in a case involving data compression techniques, resulting in a favorable settlement.

Represented a real-time interactive gaming company in a case involving patents directed to virtual networking.

Represented a major telecommunications provider in a case involving optical switching in ring networks. Obtained a very favorable settlement.

Represented a major wireless company in a case involving patents directed to wireless alarm systems. Obtained voluntary dismissal from the plaintiff.

Represented a major data storage manufacturer in a case (and appeal) involving RAID-arrays and storage interface technology.

Represented a major computer manufacturer in a case involving acceleration of data storage and retrieval.

Represented a leading database provider in a patent case involving data structures, data transmission and buffering.

Represented a leading telecommunications provider in a patent case involving cloud computing.

Represented a major computer manufacturer in a patent case involving heat sink and heat pipe technologies.

Represented a major hardware manufacturer in a series of patent cases involving high-speed memory systems. Obtained numerous favorable settlements.

Representative *Innovative* Case Resolutions:

Successfully asserted a portfolio of patents and trade secrets against a spin-off company resulting in the cessation of operations and return of all IP assets to the client.

Obtained judgment on the pleadings for a major telecommunications company in District Court litigation by asserting an unpatentability challenge against four patents directed to streaming media under the Alice doctrine. Also obtained affirmance of the judgment on appeal to the Federal Circuit.

Obtained a voluntary dismissal with prejudice from the opposing party for a major computer company following a successful Markman claim construction decision, obviating the need for further litigation.

Obtained a voluntary dismissal with prejudice from a major patent enforcer by demonstrating limited sales of client in relevant technology space.

Obtained a voluntary dismissal with prejudice from the opposing party for a Midwest U.S. family-held company based on invalidity arguments presented to opposing counsel.